

Interview Summary	Applicant No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,456	CHAMPION ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karie O'Neill	1745	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Karie O'Neill

(3) Juliet Kavanaugh

(2) Julia Dierker

(4) Jonathan Crepeau

Date of Interview: 6-23-06

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4 and proposed new claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Wang

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Proposed amendments were discussed. Examiner suggested specifying the materials of the nanowires. Proposed new claims were discussed. film and/or

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Karie O'Neill
Examiner's signature, if required